

MEMORANDUM

FROM: Judge Ricardo H. Hinojosa
Chair

On behalf of the Commission, I am pleased to provide a copy of our 2005–2006 *Guide to Publications & Resources*. In furtherance of its mandate to promote research and disseminate information on sentencing, the Commission offers this catalog which lists and describes our available publications, data, and information resources.

Please note that to make this guide easier to use, it features subject and title indexes. As an added help, the Commission offers its web site (*USSC OnLine*) on the Internet at <http://www.ussc.gov>. A variety of Commission reports, information, and materials are available via this source.

Please feel free to call upon the Commission if you have any questions about the catalog or if we may be of service in any other way.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
GPO Regional Depository Libraries	1
Publication and Resource Availability	2
Information Resources	3
HelpLine	3
Internet Site (<i>USSC OnLine</i>)	3
Video and Audio Tapes	3
Newsclips, Public Comment, and Official Records	4
Library Resources	7
Guideline Training and Education	7
Commission Publications	7
Guidelines Manuals	7
Annual Reports	9
Reports to Congress	10
Legal Briefs	17
Policy Development Team and Working Group Reports	19
Staff Discussion Papers – Simplification Project	30
Other Reports and Publications	32
Commission Data	43
Monitoring Datasets	44
Historical Datasets	55
Special Study Datasets	56
Index by Publication Title	A-1
Index by Subject	B-1

Introduction

The United States Sentencing Commission, created by the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, establishes for the federal courts sentencing policies and practices that further the purposes and objectives set forth in the Act. The Commission is specifically directed by the Act (1) to establish a research and development program; (2) to provide a clearinghouse and information center for the collection, preparation, and dissemination of information on federal sentencing practices; and (3) to serve in a consulting capacity in the development, maintenance, and coordination of sound sentencing practices. The Commission conducts research in support of its mandate, compiles information on data sources and relevant criminal justice studies, and collects data on guidelines application and other federal criminal justice processes to inform the Congress, the courts, the criminal justice community, and the public.

GPO Regional Depository Libraries

Under an agreement with the Government Printing Office, copies of basic Sentencing Commission publications are made available in hard copy or on microfiche to patrons using the GPO Regional Depository Libraries across the nation.

If you would like the location of the nearest Federal Depository Library, search “Locate Libraries” at www.gpo.gov/libraries, or send an e-mail to: askLPS@gpo.gov, or call 202-512-1114.

Publication and Resource Availability

The Commission seeks to carry out its congressional mandates through the most efficient use of government resources and consistent with its agreement with the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts regarding the confidentiality of certain documents. Public access is available to all items listed in this *Guide to Publications & Resources*. Some may be downloaded from the Commission's web site, some are available on request, others are placed in libraries across the nation, and still others must be viewed on-site. Availability is indicated by the following codes:

USSC OnLine	Available on Commission's Internet site at the address http://www.ussc.gov . See page 3 for details.
SC-Request	On request from the Sentencing Commission while supplies last, then on-site inspection.
SC-Inspect	On-site inspection by appointment at the Sentencing Commission library.
SC-Loan	From the Sentencing Commission for 24-hour loan.
GPO	From the Government Printing Office for a fee.
RDL	On-site inspection at GPO Regional Depository Libraries. See page 1 for details.
Publisher	From private publishers as indicated.
ICPSR	From the Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research, University of Michigan (data only). www.ICPSR.umich.edu/NACJD/archive.html

Address publication inquiries to:

United States Sentencing Commission
Office of Publishing and Public Affairs
One Columbus Circle, N.E.
Suite 2-500
Washington, D.C. 20002-8002
pubaffairs@ussc.gov
Publications Request Line: 202-502-4568

Information Resources

HelpLine (202–502–4545)

The Commission operates a telephone *HelpLine* to assist judges, probation officers, prosecuting and defense attorneys, law clerks, and congressional staff members with guideline application questions. The *HelpLine* is open to callers Monday through Friday between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., EST.

Internet Site – www.ussc.gov

Visitors to the Commission's web site (*USSC OnLine*) at the address www.ussc.gov can browse as well as download Commission documents and materials. *USSC OnLine* is organized into the following categories: Latest News, About USSC, Publications, Education and Training, Organizational Guidelines, *Booker/Fanfan* Materials, News, Advisory Groups, and Other Items of Interest. Sub-categories include Federal Sentencing Statistics, Commission Meeting Information, *Federal Register* Notices, Public Meeting Minutes, and Public Hearing Testimony. The web site provides links to other federal judicial agencies, and features information about state sentencing commissions and Commission employment opportunities.

Video and Audio Tapes

Audio and Videotape Sets: Drugs & Violence in America (June 16-18, 1993).
SC-Loan.

These two collections of ten video and nine audio tapes record the Commission's symposium on Crime and Punishment in the United States. This first symposium, *Drugs & Violence in America*, studied drug abuse and violence from three perspectives: causation, prevention, and treatment. Participants included the Chief Justice of the United States, the Attorney General, members of Congress, state officials, federal and state judges and corrections officials, and law enforcement personnel.

Videotape Set: Corporate Crime in America: Strengthening the 'Good Citizen' Corporation (September 7-8, 1995).
SC-Loan.

This collection of ten videotapes records the Commission's second symposium on Crime and Punishment in the United States. This corporate crime

symposium focused on changes in corporate and business culture since sentencing guidelines for organizational offenders became effective in 1991. Symposium presentations also addressed topics and policy issues raised in the wake of the guidelines' new emphasis on effective compliance programs.

Instructional Videotapes

The following instructional videotapes are available for short-term loan from the Commission's Office of Education and Sentencing Practice by calling (202) 502-4540:

A Conversation With Judge Diana E. Murphy (Chair of the U.S. Sentencing Commission)

Basic Sentencing Guidelines Application

Multiple Counts Application

Departure Analysis

Criminal History

Restitution: Determining Victims and Harms

Videotapes highlighting the 1993, 1995, 1997, or 2001 guideline amendments

Newsclips, Public Comment, and Official Records

A collection of guidelines-related news clippings.
SC-Inspect.

Files of public comment from individuals and organizations.
SC-Inspect.

Minutes of Commission meetings.
(1992-present — *USSC OnLine*; *RDL*; pre-1992 — *SC-Inspect*; *RDL*).

United States Sentencing Commission Public Hearing Transcripts.
SC-Inspect; *SC-Loan*; *RDL*; *USSC OnLine* (August 12, 1996–present).

United States Sentencing Commission Public Hearings		
Date	Location	Topic
April 15, 1986	Washington, DC	Offense Seriousness
May 22, 1986	Washington, DC	Prior Criminal Record
June 10, 1986	Washington, DC	Organizational Guidelines
July 15, 1986	Washington, DC	Sentencing Options (no transcript)
September 23, 1986	Washington, DC	Plea Agreements (no transcript)
October 17, 1986	Chicago, IL	Individual Guidelines
October 21, 1986	New York, NY	Individual Guidelines
October 29, 1986	Atlanta, GA	Individual Guidelines
November 5, 1986	Denver, CO	Individual Guidelines
November 18, 1986	San Francisco, CA	Individual Guidelines
December 2-3, 1986	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
February 17, 1987	Washington, DC	Capital Punishment
March 11-12, 1987	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
March 22, 1988	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines (no transcript)
October 11, 1988	New York, NY	Organizational Guidelines
December 2, 1988	Pasadena, CA	Organizational Guidelines
April 7, 1989	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
February 14, 1990	Washington, DC	Organizational Guidelines
March 15, 1990	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
December 13, 1990	Washington, DC	Organizational Guidelines
March 5, 1991	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
February 25, 1992	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
March 22, 1993	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines

United States Sentencing Commission

November 9, 1993	Washington, DC	Cocaine and Sentencing Policy
March 24, 1994	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
March 14, 1995	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
March 11, 1996	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
August 12, 1996	Denver, CO	Guideline Simplification
March 18, 1997	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
October 15, 1997	Washington, DC	Definition of Loss
February 10, 1998	Washington, DC	Telemarketing Fraud
March 5, 1998	San Francisco, CA	Theft, Fraud, and Tax Crimes
March 12, 1998	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
June 17, 1998	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
March 23, 2000	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
March 19, 2001	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
June 19, 2001	Rapid City, SD	Native American Issues
February 25-26, 2002	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
March 19, 2002	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
March 25, 2003	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
August 19, 2003	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
September 23, 2003	Washington, DC	The PROTECT Act
October 7, 2003	Washington, DC	Organizational Sentencing Guidelines
November 4, 2003	Washington, DC	Native American Issues
March 17, 2004	Washington, DC	Individual Guidelines
November 16-17, 2004	Washington, DC	<i>Blakely v. Washington</i>
February 15-16, 2005	Washington, DC	<i>Booker/Fanfan</i>

April 12, 2005

Washington, DC

Individual Guidelines

Library Resources

SC-Inspect.

The Commission library, open to the public by appointment (202-502-4500), contains a collection of publications on criminal justice and sentencing-related issues.

Guideline Training and Education

USSC OnLine.

A variety of exercises, visual aids, and other training materials (e.g., worksheets, articles, court decisions) designed by the Commission are available to the public via the Commission's web site: <http://www.ussc.gov/training/educat.htm>.

Commission Publications

Guidelines Manuals

The ***Guidelines Manual*** (1987-present) contains the official guidelines, policy statements, and commentary issued by the Sentencing Commission. Prior to their implementation on November 1, 1987, the Commission issued two drafts for public comment. Each succeeding edition incorporates amendments generated during the previous amendment cycle.

Preliminary Draft, Sentencing Guidelines (September 1986).

SC-Inspect; RDL.

Revised Draft, Sentencing Guidelines (January 1987).

SC-Inspect; RDL.

Sentencing Guidelines and Policy Statements (Submitted to Congress on April 13, 1987).

SC-Inspect; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Effective 11/1/87).

SC-Inspect; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 01/15/88).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 06/15/88).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 10/15/88).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/89).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/90).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/91).
2 volumes.
SC-Inspect; RDL.

Amendments to the Guidelines Manual Mandated By Public Law 102-141
(Effective 11/27/91).
SC-Request; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/92).
2 volumes.
SC-Inspect; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/93).
2 volumes.
SC-Inspect; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/94).
2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/95).
2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Amendments to the Sentencing Guidelines (Effective 11/01/96).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/97).
2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/98).

2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Supplement to the 1998 Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 05/01/00).
USSC OnLine; RDL.
Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/00).
2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Supplement to the 2000 Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 05/01/01).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/01).
2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/02).
2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Supplement to the 2002 Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 01/25/03).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Supplement to the 2002 Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 01/25/03, 04/30/03, and 05/30/03).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/03).
2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/04).
2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

Guidelines Manual (Amendments effective 11/01/05).
2 volumes.
USSC OnLine; RDL; Publisher (West).

Annual Reports

The Commission's ***Annual Report*** has increased in size and coverage since being introduced in 1986. It reports on Commission activities and research data covering the fiscal year ending prior to publication. The *Annual Report* includes a Commission overview, discussion of recent amendments to the sentencing guidelines and current legal issues, and (for the years 1988-1995)

extensive data on sentencing guideline application in the form of tables and graphics.

Annual Report (1986 - present).

USSC OnLine (1995-present); *SC-Request*; *SC-Inspect*; *RDL*.

Sourcebook of Sentencing Statistics (1996-present).

USSC OnLine; *SC-Request*; *RDL*.

Beginning in 1996, the descriptive statistics portion of the *Annual Report* was placed in this companion volume. The book contains demographic data on individual defendants, guideline application, organizational sentencing practices, and sentencing appeals. In addition, it provides selected district, circuit, and national sentencing data.

Reports to Congress

Supplementary Report on the Initial Sentencing Guidelines and Policy Statements (June 18, 1987).

SC-Inspect; *RDL*.

This report supplements and further explains the sentencing guidelines, policy statements, and related commentary submitted to Congress on April 13, 1987, along with the technical, conforming, and clarifying amendments submitted on May 1, 1987. It also provides a brief historical overview of the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, a summary of the Commission's guidelines development process, and an analysis of the expected effects of the guidelines and related legislation on federal correctional resource requirements.

Statutory Penalties Project Description and Compilations of Federal Criminal Offenses – Preliminary Report to the Congress (November 1, 1989).

SC-Inspect; *RDL*.

The Sentencing Commission is charged with reviewing penalty provisions of federal criminal statutes and suggesting appropriate changes. This report is organized into three sections. The first organizes federal statutes into 18 generic crime groups by title and section of the U.S. Code. The second organizes the statutes into 18 generic crime groups according to the maximum penalty contained in each statute. The third organizes all statutes that fall within one of the most frequently prosecuted offense types according to the maximum penalty for the offense.

Supplementary Report to the Congress: Statutory Penalty Review Project (February 13, 1991).

SC-Inspect; RDL.

This report identifies four groups of statutory penalties that appear inconsistent with the goals of sentencing reform as identified in the 1984 Act: (1) Offenses in Deprivation of Civil Rights, (2) Assault, (3) The Travel Act, and (4) Involuntary Manslaughter. In general, the report makes recommendations designed to remove various impediments created by statutory maximum penalties.

Special Report to the Congress: Mandatory Minimum Penalties in the Federal Criminal Justice System (August 1991).

USSC OnLine; SC-Request; Publisher (West); RDL.

This report responds to a statutory directive that the Commission examine the compatibility of the sentencing guidelines and mandatory minimum penalties, the effect of mandatory minimums on the federal system, and congressional alternatives to mandatory minimums for directing sentencing policy. It includes an historical overview of mandatory minimum penalties in the federal system, a synopsis of the development of guideline sentencing, and a detailed empirical study of mandatory minimums. The study uses monitoring data of the Sentencing Commission as well as data collected for use in the Commission's evaluation study.

Supplementary Report on Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations (August 30, 1991).

SC-Loan; RDL.

This report supplements the sentencing guidelines for organizational defendants (Chapter Eight of the *Guidelines Manual*, effective November 1, 1991). It addresses guideline background, structure, underlying rationale, empirical bases, and significant estimated effects. Chapter One discusses Commission procedures in developing the organizational guidelines. Chapter Two addresses the Commission's resolution of major issues. Chapter Three examines the structure of past practice for fines imposed on organizations, the magnitude of average fines imposed, and the probable effect of the guidelines on the level of fines.

The Federal Sentencing Guidelines: A Report on the Operation of the Guidelines System and Short-Term Impacts on Disparity in Sentencing, Use of Incarceration, and Prosecutorial Discretion and Plea Bargaining (December 1991) 2 volumes and Executive Summary.

SC-Request; RDL.

This report fulfills a requirement in the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 that the Commission study the impact of the guidelines on the criminal justice system four years after their implementation. The study examines the impact of the

guidelines on prosecutorial discretion, plea bargaining, disparities in sentencing, and the use of incarceration.

Study results were based on data obtained from interviews conducted with judges and federal court practitioners as well as data from the Federal Bureau of Prisons, the U.S. Parole Commission, the Executive Office for U.S. Attorneys, the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, and the Commission's monitoring system.

Analysis of Sentencing-Related Provisions of S. 2305 and H.R. 3371 Conference Report Crime Bills (Spring 1992).
SC-Request; RDL.

This report analyzes and compares two crime bills considered during the 102nd Congress: S. 2305, introduced by Senator Thurmond in 1992, and H.R. 3371, a conference bill that passed the House of Representatives in October 1991 but never came to a vote in the Senate. The report includes suggested technical modifications along with general recommendations regarding the proposed legislation.

Analysis of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (H.R. 3355) (February 22 and June 8, 1994).
SC-Request; RDL.

This two-part report, prepared at the request of the chairmen of two House Judiciary Subcommittees, analyzes and offers recommendations regarding key provisions in the Senate and House versions of the crime bill. It includes analyses of the bill's "three strikes," mandatory minimum "safety valve," and enhanced firearm penalty provisions along with prison impact assessments.

Report to Congress on the Maximum Utilization of Prison Resources (June 30, 1994).
SC-Request; RDL.

In response to a directive in the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, the Sentencing Commission and the Federal Bureau of Prisons jointly prepared this report which examines: (1) the modernization of existing facilities; (2) the use of existing federal and other surplus facilities; and (3) inmate classification and periodic review of such classification for use in placing inmates in the least restrictive facility necessary to ensure adequate security. Examining key programs, the report discusses inmate employment, alternatives to incarceration, "boot camps," drug treatment, family issues, and literacy and education.

Special Report to the Congress: Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy
(February 1995).

USSC OnLine; SC-Request; RDL.

This report responds to a statutory directive that the Commission examine federal sentencing policy as it relates to powder and crack cocaine and make recommendations for appropriate changes. The report examines the pharmacology, methods of use, and public health impact of cocaine; the drug's effects on society; cocaine distribution and marketing; cocaine's relationship to crime; the legislative history of cocaine penalties and constitutional challenges; and data related to federal drug offenders. The study includes a thorough review of available research, an analysis of cocaine sentences imposed under the sentencing guidelines, and recommendations that the Commission and the Congress make appropriate adjustments to the guidelines and the underlying statutes.

Report to the Congress: Adequacy of Penalties for the Intentional Exposure of Others, through Sexual Activity, to the Human Immunodeficiency Virus
(March 1995).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

In response to a statutory directive, the Commission reported on whether revisions to the sentencing guidelines were needed to accommodate offenses involving willful exposure to HIV. The report examines (1) the operation of the guidelines given the absence of a specific federal statute punishing the intentional transmission of HIV; (2) cases sentenced in fiscal year 1993 to determine the frequency with which HIV exposure was an issue at sentencing; and (3) pertinent case law.

Report to the Congress: Adequacy of Penalties for Fraud Offenses Involving Elderly Victims (March 1995).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report responds to a congressional directive to review the adequacy of victim-related guideline adjustments for fraud offenses against older victims. The report includes (1) an overview of the legislative history leading to the statutory directive; (2) a discussion of the operation of the relevant sentencing guidelines and policy statements; (3) an examination of case law; and (4) an empirical analysis of relevant sentencing data.

Report to the Congress: Analysis of Penalties for Federal Rape Cases
(March 1995).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

Responding to a statutory directive, this report (1) compares federal sentences for cases in which the rape victim is known to the defendant with cases in which the victim is not known; (2) compares federal sentences for cases involving offenses occurring on federal territory with sentences in surrounding states; and (3) analyzes the effect of rape sentences on populations residing in federal territory. The report discusses relevant sentencing guidelines, compares federal and state penalties for sexual assault, and analyzes Sentencing Commission sexual abuse data.

Analysis of the Sentencing-Related Provisions of the Senate Committee Print of the Immigration Reform Act of 1995 (Winter 1996).
SC-Request; RDL.

This report analyzes the principal criminal penalty provisions of the Senate Committee Print of the Immigration Reform Act of 1995. The analysis was prepared in response to a January 24, 1996, request from Senator Edward M. Kennedy, the ranking minority member of the Senate Immigration Subcommittee. The report includes recommendations for changes to the bill to more fully achieve the goals of the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.

Report to the Congress: Sex Offenses Against Children (June 1996).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report analyzes all 1994 and 1995 cases involving sexual abuse, child pornography, or the promotion of illegal sexual contact. The report responds to a congressional directive in the Sex Crimes Against Children Prevention Act of 1995. Pertinent statutory provisions are analyzed and recommendations are presented.

Report to the Congress: Adequacy of Federal Sentencing Guideline Penalties for Computer Fraud and Vandalism Offenses (June 1996).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report responds to the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996's directive to review the deterrent effect of existing guidelines as they apply to various computer crimes. The Commission reviewed its data on guideline convictions under the pertinent statute, conducted a search to determine whether any recidivism had occurred, developed a profile of a "typical offender," and conducted a literature review of deterrence studies of "white collar" crime.

Special Report to Congress: Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy (April 1997).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report contains Sentencing Commission recommendations regarding penalties for powder and crack cocaine. The report, made pursuant to a congressional directive, recommends that the current penalty differential for federal powder and crack cases be reduced by changing quantity levels that trigger mandatory minimum penalties for both powder and crack. Recommended are a range of possible options to adjust both powder and crack penalties. Appended to this report is Vice Chairman Gelacak's Concurring Opinion.

Report to the Congress: Sentencing Policy for Money Laundering Offenses, Including Comments on Department of Justice Report (September 18, 1997).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report responds to a congressional directive to comment on the Department of Justice's report on federal prosecutorial charging and plea practices affecting money laundering. In the report, the Commission reported that the broad and inconsistent use of money laundering penalties, coupled with an inflexible, arbitrarily determined guideline structure, is resulting in substantial unwarranted disparity and disproportionality.

Report to the Congress: Telemarketing Fraud Offenses—Explanation of Recent Guideline Amendments (October 1998).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report was submitted in response to the Telemarketing Fraud Prevention Act of 1998. It includes an explanation of actions taken by the Commission to promulgate or amend sentencing guidelines to provide for increased penalties for persons convicted of offenses relating to the conduct of telemarketing. It also estimates the impact of the amendments described in the report.

Report to the Congress: MDMA Drug Offenses: Explanation of Recent Guideline Amendments (May 2001).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report was submitted to Congress pursuant to section 3663(e) of the Ecstasy Anti-Proliferation Act of 2000. The report provides a brief explanation of the guideline amendments promulgated pursuant to the Act, looks at the estimated impact of these amendments, and includes a brief history of the drug and associated health hazards.

Report to the Congress: Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy (May 2002).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report was compiled to contribute to the ongoing assessment of federal cocaine sentencing policy by Congress and others in the criminal justice system. The report updates and supplements much of the research and data presented in the U.S. Sentencing Commission's 1995 and 1997 reports on cocaine sentencing policy. The report recommends that Congress adopt a three-pronged approach for revising federal cocaine penalties.

Report to the Congress: Increased Penalties Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
(January 2003).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report was submitted in response to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 which requires the Commission to expeditiously consider the promulgation of new sentencing guidelines or amendments to existing guidelines to provide an enhancement for officers or directors of publicly traded corporations who commit fraud and related offenses. The report also details the Commission's response to directives generally pertaining to fraud and obstruction of justice.

Report to the Congress: Increased Penalties for Cyber Security Offenses
(May 2003).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report was submitted pursuant to the Homeland Security Act of 2002 which required the Commission to explain actions taken in response to the Cyber Security Enhancement Act of 2002. In developing its response to the Act, the Commission analyzed sentencing data, reviewed relevant case law and legislative history, and solicited and considered commentary from the criminal justice community.

Report to the Congress: Increased Penalties for Campaign Finance Offenses and Legislative Recommendations (May 2003).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report was submitted pursuant to the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 which required to Commission to promulgate a guideline for penalties for violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971. The Commission promulgated an emergency temporary amendment effective January 25, 2003. The guideline was repromulgated as a permanent amendment in March 2003 and, subject to congressional review, will become effective November 1, 2003.

Report to Congress: Downward Departures from the Federal Sentencing Guidelines
(October 2003).

USSC OnLine; SC-Request; RDL.

This report was submitted in direct response to the PROTECT Act and as part of the Commission’s 15-year review of the federal sentencing guidelines. The report identifies specific congressional concerns regarding departure decisions and evaluates departure provisions throughout the *Guidelines Manual* in light of both general and specific concerns.

Legal Briefs

Brief for the United States Sentencing Commission as *amicus curiae* in *Mistretta v. United States*, 488 U.S. 361 (1989).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

Mistretta involved four separation of powers issues as well as a contention that Congress had excessively delegated its legislative authority. In its *amicus* brief, the Sentencing Commission principally argued that (1) the structure of the Commission was not violative of separation of powers provisions and did not involve any congressional self-aggrandizement; (2) neither the executive nor the judicial branches are disrupted by the delegation of the Commission to the judiciary; (3) the mixed composition of the Commission does not violate separation of powers because judges may take part in extra-judicial tasks, and the prohibition against sharing the “judicial power of the United States” with the executive branch is inapplicable; and (4) removal powers granted to the President in no way threaten the tenure or salary of the judges.

Brief for the United States Sentencing Commission as *amicus curiae* in *United States v. Lopez*, 938 F.2d 1293 (D.C. Cir. 1991).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

In this brief, submitted at the request of the court, the Commission contended that (1) a court could not set aside a sentencing guideline or policy statement for failure of the Commission to articulate adequately the basis and purpose of the provision, and (2) the Commission had provided an appropriate statement of reasons for its guidelines and policy statements. The Commission illustrated the reasons why the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) did not apply to the Sentencing Commission, with the exception of two individual provisions found within 5 U.S.C. § 553(c) dealing with publication and public hearing procedures. The Commission asserted that it need only support its guideline decisions with a “report [to Congress] stating the reasons for the Commission’s recommendations.”

Brief for the United States Sentencing Commission as *amicus curiae* in *United States v. Bell*, 991 F.2d 1445 (8th Cir. 1993).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

The United States Sentencing Commission filed a brief of *amicus curiae* in support of the Government's position as appellant that the *ex post facto* clause is not applicable to sentencing guideline amendments. The Commission's brief contended that the guidelines themselves are not laws and therefore not subject to *ex post facto* restrictions because (1) the procedure through which guideline amendments are promulgated involves no legislative action; (2) judges retain discretion to sentence outside the guidelines as a result of a flexible departure standard; and (3) the guidelines do not reclassify substantive crimes but are based on statutory offense categories.

Memorandum of Law by the United States Sentencing Commission as *amicus curiae* in *United States v. McLellan*, Criminal Action No. 1:91-CR-326A-01 (JOF) (N.D.GA. 1995).

SC-Inspect.

In this case, the defendant moved to invalidate the U.S. Sentencing Commission and the sentencing guidelines on separation of powers grounds. The defendant contended that the Supreme Court decision in *Mistretta v. U.S.* resolved only the facial validity of the Sentencing Reform Act and that, irrespective of the Court's decision, the Commission's actions in implementing the Act had violated the separation of powers doctrine. In its memorandum of law as *amicus curiae*, the Commission argued that in resolving conflicts of sentencing law among the federal circuits, advising Congress on sentencing issues, and conducting studies of sentencing issues, it was fulfilling duties mandated by the Act. These duties were all found to be proper for the Commission in *Mistretta*, and thus the Commission was in no way stepping outside the bounds of its constitutional role in fulfilling them.

Brief for the United States Sentencing Commission as *amicus curiae* in Support of Petitioner, United States of America in *U.S. v. Booker* and *U.S. v. Fanfan*, 125 S. Ct 738 (2005).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This brief argues that the imposition of a guidelines sentence based on a judge's determination of a fact neither found by a jury nor admitted by a defendant does not violate the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution. It argues that unlike the Washington sentencing scheme considered in *Blakely v. Washington*, the federal guidelines do not alter the statutorily prescribed range of penalties to which a criminal defendant is exposed. Instead, the federal guidelines assign weights to traditional sentencing factors within statutory constraints. The brief also argues that the maximum sentence a federal judge may impose based on a jury verdict or facts admitted by a defendant is the statutory maximum set by Congress in the relevant federal statute, and any additional facts found by a judge at sentencing pursuant to the federal guidelines cannot increase a sentence beyond the statutory maximum set by Congress.

Policy Development Team & Working Group Reports

Inter-disciplinary panels of Commission staff (“working groups” and policy development teams) produce reports and documents on specific topic areas identified by commissioners. The groups study specific guideline issues, identify areas of concern, and make recommendations to the Commission.

Criminal Livelihood Working Group Report (March 23, 1988).

SC-Loan; RDL.

This report examines various issues relevant to the criminal livelihood guideline (§4B1.3), including (1) difficulties in applying the guideline, (2) the guideline’s impact on lower-income petty offenders, and (3) the point at which the guideline is calculated (*i.e.*, before or after calculation of Acceptance of Responsibility). The working group analyzed legislative history, constitutional issues, monitoring data, and possible modifications to the guideline.

Career Offender Guidelines Working Group Report (March 25, 1988).

SC-Loan; RDL.

This report examined career offender guideline questions (§§4B1.1 and 4B1.2) arising from hotline calls and a training survey. The working group also examined a Seventh Circuit case that raised issues of “ambiguity” in the guidelines. The working group report includes a review of 18 U.S.C. § 924(e) and the career offender guideline, examining the development, the legislative history, and the operation of the statute and the guideline. The report examines specific career offender guideline issues and proposals.

Bank Robbery Working Group Report (March 29, 1989).

SC-Loan; RDL.

This working group report includes (1) an analysis of preguidelines sentencing practice for bank robbery offenses drawn from the 1985 augmented FPSSIS data, designed to permit Commission comparison between past practice and the guidelines; (2) an analysis of time served by offenders in cases involving unarmed robbery of one bank in which no deaths, injuries, or abductions occurred and the offender had no prior adult convictions and did not warrant consideration as a minor participant or a leader; and (3) an analysis of key offense and offender characteristics of 146 bank robbery cases sentenced under the guidelines.

Drugs, Firearms, and Violent Offenses Working Group Report

(December 18, 1989).

SC-Loan; RDL.

The working group, in assessing areas for proposed amendments, consulted current and pending legislation, hotline calls, Commission sentencing data, case law, public comment, Department of Justice proposals, and information from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. The report recommends restructuring the arson guideline (§2K1.4) to raise the base offense level and to address the more mainstream arson cases. In addition, it recommends clarification of the application notes for drug guidelines 2D1.1 and 2D1.2. Following its review of the firearms guidelines, the group proposed amending guideline 2K2.1 to cover violations of 18 U.S.C. § 924(e). The group also proposed changes to the murder-for-hire guideline for conspiracy or solicitation to murder and recommended restructuring the guideline covering assault with intent to commit murder (§2A.1.1).

Revocation Working Group Report (December 18, 1989).

SC-Loan; RDL.

This working group's goal was the development of guidelines for violations of probation and supervised release that would replace the policy statements in Chapter Seven of the *Guidelines Manual*. The group reviewed existing policy statements, relevant literature, legislative history, statistical data, recommendations from the Department of Justice, and an internal draft of proposed guidelines for violation and revocation procedures.

Criminal History Working Group Report (December 20, 1989).

SC-Loan; RDL.

This working group analyzed issues regarding the addition of a Criminal History Category VII to the sentencing table and reviewed data on the distribution of criminal history points and departures due to adequacy of criminal history. The group also analyzed issues regarding the assignment of criminal history points to uncounseled misdemeanor convictions and reviewed practices and policies with respect to counting such convictions for criminal history purposes.

Role in the Offense Working Group Report (January 4, 1990).

SC-Loan; RDL.

The working group evaluated the operation of the role in the offense guidelines: guideline 3B1.1 (Aggravating Role – particularly the organizer-leader-supervisor-manager distinction and the “otherwise extensive” provision) and guideline 3B1.2 (Mitigating Role – particularly the treatment of relative

culpability of defendants). The working group analyzed potential problems in the application of these guidelines by examining preguidelines cases, Commission data, case files, appellate case law, and departure cases.

Working Group Report on Child Pornography, Obscenity Offenses, and Hate Crimes (January 16, 1990).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The working group reviewed a broad range of resources in considering potential amendments to the guidelines for offenses involving prostitution, sexual exploitation of minors, obscenity, and civil rights. The report contains a collection of relevant statutes, discusses the legislative history, digests data from Commission case files, and discusses court decisions. The report also reviews guideline application issues originating from hotline calls and public comment on proposed guideline amendments.

Aliens Working Group Report (October 18, 1990).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The working group studied guidelines pertaining to violations of immigration, naturalization, and passport laws (§§2L1.1, 2L2.1, and 2L2.3). The group found that the specific offense characteristic in each of these guidelines, based on whether the defendant previously had been convicted of the same or a similar offense, was not an adequate measure of the scope of the offense. The working group considered public comment and studied pertinent case files to construct an alternative set of specific offense characteristics for those guidelines. Based on its case analysis, the working group suggested that a more direct measure of the scope of the offense would be tables listing offense levels based on the number of individuals or documents involved in the offense.

Drug Working Group Report – Listed Chemicals (November 13, 1990).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The working group examined the Chemical Diversion Trafficking Act, 21 U.S.C. § 841(d), to develop recommendations for guideline sanctions against conduct proscribed by the Act. The group summarized the legislative history of the Act and its primary listed chemical offenses, profiled offenders and cases brought under the Act, reviewed monitoring data, summarized appellate case law, and proposed two guideline amendment options.

Working Group Report on Category 0/VII (November 20, 1990).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The working group examined the feasibility and consequences of expanding the sentencing table to include a Criminal History Category VII and creating a new category for “first offender.” By analyzing Commission data and case law, the group profiled defendants who might fall under Criminal History Categories 0 and VII. The group explored theoretical and practical considerations involved in defining “first offender” and surveyed the potential impact of various options.

Bank Robbery Working Group Report (December 10, 1990).
SC-Loan; RDL.

To inform the Commission about potential amendment options, the working group explored three issues of concern about the way in which offenders are sentenced pursuant to the robbery guideline: (1) Are offense levels for unarmed bank robbery too low? (2) Are the enhancements for weapon use sufficient? (3) Should an enhancement be provided for bank robberies committed but that do not lead to a conviction?

The group studied Commission data, hotline reports, appellate court decisions, nationwide data provided by the FBI, and input from practitioners.

Firearms and Explosive Materials Working Group Report (December 11, 1990).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The working group studied the firearms and explosives guidelines by reviewing Commission data, case files, and appellate decisions and by soliciting input from appropriate agencies and interested parties. The group proposed combining a number of firearms and explosives guidelines to eliminate duplication and confusion in application and to incorporate additional specific offense characteristics.

Acceptance of Responsibility Working Group Report (October 16, 1991).
SC-Loan; RDL.

This working group undertook a broad examination of the acceptance of responsibility guideline (§3E1.1) in response to a request from the Criminal Law Committee of the Judicial Conference for additional mitigation at higher offense levels. The group focused specifically on (1) whether the guideline was being interpreted and applied consistently across the country; (2) whether the guideline provided an appropriate offense level reduction; (3) whether the guideline needed to differentiate more precisely among defendants; and (4)

whether factors that the guideline did not consider would help a court determine when the reduction was warranted.

Criminal History Working Group Report: Category 0, Category VII, Career Offender
(October 17, 1991).
SC-Loan; RDL.

This working group examined (1) the possible modification of criminal history categories by adding a Category 0 and/or Category VII and (2) factors to narrow or expand the applicability of the career offender guideline. The group explored various methods of defining a “first offender” (a possible criterion for Criminal History Category 0). The group also examined the data to develop the criminal history point boundary for Category VI to define eligibility for a new Category VII. A supplemental report was submitted February 21, 1992.

Preliminary Report to the Commission: Staff Working Group on Alternatives
(October 23, 1991).
SC-Loan; RDL.

In 1990, the Judicial Conference of the United States and the Alternatives to Imprisonment Project chaired by Commissioner Helen G. Corrothers submitted reports to the Commission recommending an increase in the number of existing intermediate punishments, an expansion of the pool of eligible defendants, and a general increase in district courts’ flexibility in sentencing certain offenders. This working group undertook a coordinated assessment of the two reports and examined written comments and public hearing testimony about alternatives.

Child Sex Offense Working Group Report (December 1991).
SC-Loan; RDL.

Congress directed the Sentencing Commission to amend guidelines for offenses involving sexual crimes against children if the Commission determined that current penalties were inadequate. In response, the child sex offense working group conducted a study of sentences for sexual abuse, kidnapping, and pornography cases.

Drug Working Group Report (March 27, 1992).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The working group reviewed a broad range of information as it considered possible amendments to guidelines for relevant conduct, mitigating role in the offense, drug trafficking offenses, and renting or managing a drug

establishment. To assist the Commission's consideration of these issues, the working group reviewed approximately 1,500 case files to profile sentencing drug defendants and identify offense characteristics that relate to mitigating role adjustments. The group analyzed the impact of prosecutorial selection of statutes that contain a lesser statutory maximum. As part of this analysis, the group profiled so-called "courier" and "mule" cases to examine the relationship between this offense behavior and the application of the mitigating role adjustment. The data were organized to permit modeling of the impact of potential amendment options.

Money Laundering Working Group Report (October 14, 1992).
SC-Loan; RDL.

This report addresses whether the money laundering guidelines appropriately reflect offense seriousness. The working group held meetings with practitioners and analyzed computer data drawn from actual case files. Evidence indicated that offense levels for money laundering counts varied significantly from offense levels for the underlying counts (*e.g.*, drug trafficking or a fraudulent scheme). A high percentage of offenders convicted of money laundering also were involved in the underlying crime, which suggests that money laundering was incidental to the underlying conduct. However, the guideline offense level for money laundering in drug cases exceeded that for the underlying conduct 52.5 percent of the time and 96 percent of the time in non-drug cases. The group analyzed ways of aligning these levels.

Violent Crimes/Firearms/Gangs Working Group Report (October 14, 1992).
SC-Loan; RDL.

This working group examined (1) whether or not present guideline penalties for these offense types were adequate and (2) specific application problems associated with these guidelines. The purpose of the gang study was to examine issues pertinent to incorporating gang membership and gang crime as sentencing factors.

The group conducted a systematic study of the offenses against the person and the revised firearm guidelines. The study included computer analyses of Commission data, an analysis of published court opinions dealing with the pertinent guidelines, an examination of emerging questions and problems directed to the Commission, and input from practitioners. The gang study included a literature review, a survey of state sentencing commissions, and a review of pertinent case law. The group issued an addendum to this report March 30, 1993.

Report of the Drugs/Role/Harmonization Working Group (November 10, 1992).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The primary focus of this working group was the current quantity-driven drug guideline. Its principal task was to explore alternative means of distinguishing drug offenses and offenders on bases other than drug quantity. The group also reviewed relevant case law to identify additional potential areas of interest concerning the drug and role guidelines, with the primary objective of identifying circuit court conflicts about guideline application.

The group examined the language and legislative history of the 1986 Anti-Drug Abuse Act, conducted empirical research using Commission data, examined hotline information, and reviewed state sentencing guidelines for drug trafficking offenders. The group issued on April 1, 1993, an addendum reporting on its case review project.

Juvenile Offenders Working Group Report (November 10, 1992).
SC-Request; RDL.

The impetus for this working group was a statutory directive requiring the Commission to “study the feasibility of developing guidelines for the disposition of juvenile delinquents.” The report summarizes the group’s progress to date following its analysis of available data. The report references a Supreme Court case, *United States v. R.L.C.*, which held that the maximum sentence for juvenile delinquents is set by the guidelines. The group suggests an amendment incorporating this holding and deleting a current policy statement, 5H1.1 – age, which states that the guidelines do not apply to “a person sentenced as a juvenile delinquent under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 5037.”

White Collar Crime Working Group Report (April 1993).
SC-Loan; RDL.

This working group considered issues relating to guidelines governing various economic offenses, including property, fraud, antitrust, and tax offenses. The report focuses primarily on theft and fraud issues on the one hand and tax issues on the other. With respect to theft and fraud, the report discusses reliance on loss as a primary determinant of offense severity, the guideline definition of loss, calibration of the loss tables, consolidation of the theft and fraud guidelines, enhancement for more than minimal planning, and the abuse of trust adjustment. With respect to tax, the major areas of inquiry cover the impact on tax offenses of the expanded availability of non-prison sentences, simplification of the tax guidelines, and tax offenses involving illegally derived income.

Computer Fraud Working Group Report (September 8, 1993).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The Computer Fraud Working Group studied the effectiveness of the fraud guideline and related guidelines on computer fraud offenses. To accomplish this, the working group met with interested parties, conducted an empirical analysis of cases sentenced under 18 U.S.C. § 1030, and reviewed public comment, legislative history, case law, relevant literature, and hotline calls. Based on this review, the group recommended that the Commission not adopt a new guideline for computer offenses, but instead revise the loss commentary in the fraud guideline and explore whether other guidelines might be more appropriate for certain offenses. The report also includes suggestions regarding amendments to fraud guideline commentary. A working group report summary is also available from the Commission.

Public Corruption Working Group Report (September 8, 1993).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The Public Corruption Working Group was charged with examining sentencing practices under the public corruption guidelines. The review, which included an examination of approximately 300 public corruption cases, identified a number of topics involving application of the public corruption guidelines that warranted examination: (1) a structural issue that involved the scope of application of the guidelines; (2) issues involving application of guideline adjustments in complex cases; and (3) an issue concerning the appropriateness of departures on grounds of collateral consequences.

Food and Drug Working Group Preliminary Report (February 1994).
SC-Loan; RDL.

The Food and Drug Working Group was established to conduct a two-year assessment of the feasibility of formulating organizational guidelines for offenses involving food, drugs, and agricultural products (§2N2.1). The group's preliminary report includes (1) an overview of the pertinent guideline and the most commonly prosecuted offenses sentenced under it; (2) an analysis of food and drug cases involving individuals sentenced under guideline 2N2.1 during fiscal years 1991 and 1992; (3) a description of food and drug cases involving organizational defendants sentenced under preguidelines law; and (4) an analysis of significant application issues.

Money Laundering Working Group Report (February 28, 1995).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This follow-up to an October 1992 report reviews the history of the money laundering guidelines, summarizes the earlier report, and updates case law and other relevant information available since the 1992 report. A working group report summary is also available from the Commission.

Food and Drug Working Group Report (February 1995).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This update to a February 1994 report includes an overview of guideline 2N2.1 and the most commonly prosecuted crimes sentenced under it, provides a description and analysis of food and drug cases involving individuals sentenced under guideline 2N2.1 in fiscal years 1991-93, and describes food and drug cases involving organizational defendants sentenced under preguidelines law.

Federal Court Practices: Sentence Reductions Based on Defendants' Substantial Assistance to the Government (May 1997).
SC-Loan; SC-Inspect.

This working group report studies the policies and practices associated with the application of the substantial assistance policy statement. Topics include (1) substantial assistance across districts; (2) caseload composition and trial rates; (3) the characteristics of defendants who cooperate with authorities and the type of assistance offered; (4) sentences for codefendants; and (5) the prosecutorial and judicial roles.

Loss Issues Working Paper (October 14, 1997).
SC-Loan; RDL.

This memorandum discusses issues raised about the definition of loss in the case law, in training, and on the Commission's HelpLine, and presents some general options to address those issues to achieve greater sentencing uniformity and predictability. The paper also incorporates input received from various advisory groups.

Manslaughter Working Group Report (December 15, 1997).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report studies the voluntary and involuntary manslaughter guidelines to determine whether the current penalties are appropriate relative to other violent offenses. The working group examined three years of Commission

sentencing data (FY 94-96), reviewed legal and social science literature on manslaughter, compared federal sentencing standards with the practices of selected states, and conducted a public hearing at which experts on manslaughter testified. The report presents options to amend the guidelines for Commission consideration.

Telemarketing Fraud Working Group Report (January 1998).
USSC OnLine; SC-Request; RDL.

This report studies telemarketing fraud offenses in conjunction with the Commission's multi-year comprehensive assessment of the fraud and related guidelines. The report provides a legislative history of the relevant statutes and guidelines, assesses guideline application issues affected by telemarketing fraud enhancements, and examines the scope and nature of the telemarketing fraud issue.

A Field Test of Proposed Revisions to the Definition of Loss in the Theft and Fraud Guidelines: A Report to the Commission (October 20, 1998).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report presents the results of a survey of 22 federal judges and 21 probation officers about the definition of loss in the theft and fraud guidelines. In this field test, each judge was given the proposed definition and was asked to apply it, with the assistance of a probation officer, to theft and fraud cases. Judges completed survey instruments on 121 randomly selected and 107 participant-selected cases.

No Electronic Theft Act Policy Development Team Report (February 1999).
SC-Loan; USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report analyzes the legislative history of the No Electronic Theft Act, reviews the history of sentencing guideline 2B5.3 (Criminal Infringement of Copyright or Trademark), reviews public comment on electronic theft, presents an empirical analysis of cases sentenced under §2B5.3, examines the literature on the topic, and discusses relevant state law and federal regulations.

Methamphetamine Final Report (November 1999).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This is the final report of the Commission's Methamphetamine Policy Team regarding the implementation of the Methamphetamine Trafficking Penalty Enhancement Act of 1998. The report examines the methamphetamine problem in the United States, summarizes the statutory and sentencing guideline history for federal methamphetamine offenses, discusses

characteristics of methamphetamine offenders, and outlines policy options for responding to the act.

Identity Theft Final Report (December 15, 1999).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report details the legislative history of the Identity Theft and Assumption Deterrence Act of 1998, analyzes the key provisions of the statute, and assesses its impact upon existing sentencing guidelines. The report also presents analyses of Commission data and assesses preliminary policy options for Commission consideration.

Sentencing for the Possession or Use of Firearms During a Crime (January 6, 2000).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report examines the guidelines' approach to sentencing for possession or use of a firearm during a crime of violence or drug trafficking offense. The report provides an introduction to 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) and recent Supreme Court decisions and legislation relevant to the statute.

Cellular Telephone Cloning Final Report (January 25, 2000).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report, produced by the Commission's Economic Crimes Policy Team, addresses the development of policy options for implementing the directives contained in the Wireless Telephone Protection Act. The report also presents background on the issue and the teams's analysis and findings.

Sentencing Federal Sexual Offenders: Protection of Children from Sexual Predators Act of 1998 (February 17, 2000).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report was produced to assist the Commission in developing possible responses to the Protection of Children from Sexual Predators Act of 1998. This act contains directives to the Commission to review relevant guidelines and provide appropriate enhancements while ensuring reasonable consistency among the guidelines and avoiding duplicative punishment.

Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Weapons Policy Team (December 4, 2000).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This report addresses the statutes and guidelines for nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons importation and exportation offenses. It provides extensive background materials, including an analysis of pertinent legislative history and Commission data, a case review, and a proportional comparison of national defense guidelines with other types of offenses.

Staff Discussion Papers – Simplification Project

The Sentencing Reform Act (November 1996).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This staff discussion paper provides an overview of the principal purposes and features of the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 (SRA). The principal SRA provisions which shape and constrain drafting of the sentencing guidelines are described. The paper also generally describes Congress's direction to the Commission to consider a variety of identified offense and offender characteristics and to achieve desired levels of severity for particular categories of defendants. Finally, the paper enumerates congressional directives enacted subsequent to the SRA that further limit Commission amendment discretion.

Relevant Conduct (November 1996).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This staff discussion paper examines the tension between real-offense and charge-offense sentencing and the Commission's response to it: the relevant conduct guideline. The paper discusses the federal criminal code and the ways in which the code and the Sentencing Reform Act eliminate the possibility of a pure offense of conviction sentencing system. The paper reviews the history of the relevant conduct guideline, presents criticisms of the guideline, and discusses state counterparts. Finally, the paper outlines broad options to address these issues.

Level of Detail in Chapter Two (November 1996).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This paper focuses on the 151 offense guidelines in Chapter Two of the *Guidelines Manual*. The paper discusses the choices the Commission made about (1) the factors important to sentencing; (2) the assignment of a specific weight to a base offense level or a specific offense characteristic (SOC); (3) cross reference determinations; and (4) departure decisions. Data is presented on the frequency of guideline use, specific offense characteristics, cross

references, and adjustments. The paper concludes with a list of options for modifying the level of detail in Chapter Two.

Chapter Three Adjustments (November 1996).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This staff discussion paper examines the major policy issues in Chapter Three of the *Guidelines Manual* (except for “Part D - Multiple Counts” which is the topic of a separate report) by reviewing data, case law, hotline calls, training experiences, and pertinent literature. The aim of this paper is to explain (1) why Chapter Three includes certain adjustments; (2) how the adjustments are working; (3) criticisms of these adjustments; and (4) some options that would simplify or improve the present structure and operation of Chapter Three guidelines.

Criminal History (November 1996).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This staff discussion paper has three components: (1) policy issues regarding the ability of the current criminal history score to adequately distinguish between offenders; (2) an outline of several issues that create guideline application problems; and (3) alternative criminal history measures that may improve the current guidelines.

Departures and Offender Characteristics (November 1996).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This paper analyzes departures and offender characteristics under the guidelines and includes a discussion of pertinent sections of the applicable statutory directives and their legislative history, an examination of the way in which the Commission executed those directives, and a review of empirical information on current departure practice and appellate review standards. The report also contains summaries of criticisms of the manner in which departures and offender characteristics are handled under the guidelines, and a description of the way some state systems treat departures and offender characteristics. Finally, the paper offers options for change that could simplify and improve the operation of guidelines relevant to these issues.

Multiple Counts (November 1996).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This staff discussion paper reviews the guidelines’ multiple count rules (Chapter Three, Part D). These rules were developed to provide appropriate incremental punishment for defendants convicted of multiple offenses. The

paper presents information from a year's worth of hotline calls that dealt with multiple count application. Information on judicial interpretation was obtained from appellate case law on multiple count issues.

Sentencing Options Under the Guidelines (November 1996).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

The Sentencing Options Working Group examined the various alternatives to imprisonment that are possible under the guidelines. This paper analyzes (1) statutory directives regarding alternatives; (2) the ways in which the guidelines define and allocate sentencing options; (3) criticisms of the existing approach; (4) guideline complexity; (5) judicial use of existing options; (6) factors that account for use or non-use of alternatives; and (7) evaluations of the effectiveness of particular alternatives.

Other Reports and Publications

Dissenting View of Paul H. Robinson on the Promulgation of Sentencing Guidelines by the United States Sentencing Commission (May 1, 1987).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

This document contains Commissioner Paul Robinson's dissenting viewpoint on the Commission's promulgation of its initial set of sentencing guidelines.

Preliminary Observations of the Commission on Commissioner Robinson's Dissent (May 1, 1987).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

In this document, the six commissioners who voted affirmatively to promulgate the initial guidelines issued preliminary observations on Commissioner Robinson's dissent. Supplemental statements were issued by Commissioners Ilene H. Nagel, Michael K. Block, and George E. MacKinnon.

Discussion Materials on Organizational Sanctions (July 1988).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

This volume contains discussion materials distributed by the Commission to encourage public analysis and comment on the development of sentencing standards for organizations convicted of federal crimes. These materials include a discussion draft of sentencing guidelines and policy statements for organizations, a draft proposal on standards for organizational probation, a report on sentencing of organizations in the federal courts (1984-1987), and a staff working paper on criminal sentencing policy for organizations.

Sentencing Guidelines for Organizational Defendants – Preliminary Draft

(November 1, 1989).

SC-Loan; RDL.

This document presented the Commission’s preliminary proposal on this issue and was published to encourage public comment on the proposal and on any other aspect of the sentencing guidelines, policy statements, and commentary as they applied to the sentencing of organizations.

Public Access to Sentencing Commission Documents and Data, 54 Fed. Reg. 51279 (12/13/89).

SC-Request; USSC OnLine; RDL.

This is a policy notice regarding public access to Sentencing Commission documents and data and an agreement between the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and the Sentencing Commission concerning the confidentiality of certain sentencing information.

Amendment Highlights (1989-Present).

(Individual years)

USSC-OnLine; SC-Request; RDL.

Amendment Highlights briefly summarizes the substantive and clarifying amendments to the *Guidelines Manual* submitted to Congress during each amendment cycle.

Selected Guidelines Application Decisions (1989-Present).

(Individual years)

USSC OnLine; RDL.

The Commission compiles summaries of selected cases, organized according to pertinent guideline section, involving application of the federal sentencing guidelines or related sentencing issues.

The Federal Offender: A Program of Intermediate Punishments (Alternatives to Imprisonment Project) (December 28, 1990).

SC-Loan; RDL.

The primary purposes of this commissioner-led project were to explore community-based alternatives to imprisonment, to make recommendations with regard to increasing the array of sanctions available to the federal courts, and to ascertain the desirability of increasing the pool of offenders eligible for intermediate sanctions. The report presented a package of recommendations for consideration by the Commission.

The Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Organizational Crimes: Questions and Answers (1991).
USSC OnLine.

This document asks and answers questions about the organizational guidelines on such topics as general background, the development of the organizational guidelines, the theory behind the guidelines, and how the guidelines work.

Sentences Imposed Under the Guidelines (October 1, 1990-September 30, 1991).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

This compilation is a modified version of the *Sentences Imposed Chart*, formerly published by the Statistics Division of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts. The Sentencing Commission modified the original report, basing it on the guidelines applied rather than on the statutes of conviction. Data for the set of tables emanate from the 26,813 guideline defendants in the Commission's fiscal year 1991 monitoring files. Factors reported in this volume are those considered by the court in arriving at a final sentencing range.

U.S. Sentencing Commission Selected Reprints, Vol. I (June 1992).
SC-Inspect; RDL.

This volume compiles eight previously published articles on federal sentencing issues written by commissioners and staff. Topics include relevant conduct, plea negotiations, acceptance of responsibility, departures, discretion, and drug trafficking offenses.

Proceedings of the Inaugural Symposium on Crime and Punishment in the United States: Drugs & Violence in America (June 16-18, 1993).
SC-Request; RDL.

This volume contains the proceedings of the Commission's first symposium in a series on Crime and Punishment in the United States. The symposium brought together more than 350 key policymakers to share information and exchange ideas on the topic of drugs and violence. Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist, Attorney General Janet Reno, Senators Edward M. Kennedy and Joseph R. Biden, Jr., and Congresswoman Maxine Waters were among two dozen government officials and experts who addressed the causes, prevention, and treatment of drug abuse and violence. Video and audio tapes of the symposium are available on loan from the Commission.

Report from Advisory Group on Environmental Sanctions (December 1993).
USSC OnLine.

This 1993 draft of proposed sanctions for organizations convicted of environmental offenses was prepared by an independent Advisory Working Group on Environmental Offenses. The proposal includes such elements as the determining of aggravating factors in sentencing, organizational commitment to environmental compliance, and probationary periods for organizations.

Plea Negotiations Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: An Empirical Examination of the Post-Mistretta Experience (December 1994).
SC-Loan.

This report, authored by U.S. Sentencing Commissioner Ilene H. Nagel and University of Chicago Professor Stephen J. Schulhofer, explores the inter-relationship between the federal sentencing guidelines and plea negotiation practices during the period immediately following the Supreme Court's decision in *Mistretta v. United States*. This report includes an analysis of qualitative data from site visits and an extensive review of sentencing documents in ten selected jurisdictions.

Update on the Activities of the United States Sentencing Commission (September 1995).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This compendium contains (1) reports to Congress on penalties for federal rape cases, adequacy of penalties for fraud offenses involving elderly victims, and adequacy of penalties for the intentional exposure of others through sexual activity to HIV; (2) the Commission's majority and minority opinions on the crack and powder cocaine issue; and (3) commissioners' congressional testimony on cocaine penalties.

Proceedings of the Second Symposium on Crime and Punishment in the United States: Corporate Crime in America: Strengthening the "Good Citizen" Corporation (September 7-8, 1995).
USSC OnLine; SC-Request; RDL.

This volume contains the proceedings of the Commission's second symposium in a series on crime and punishment. The symposium focused on the ways in which companies, industries, and enforcement officials have responded to (1) the organizational sentencing guidelines' "carrot and stick" incentives and (2) other changes in the enforcement landscape that encourage businesses to develop strong compliance programs and adopt crime-controlling measures. Participants included federal enforcement officials, representatives of

corporations, private attorneys, and researchers who focus on business ethics and crime.

A National Sample Survey: Public Opinion on Sentencing Federal Crimes
(October 1995).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This monograph reports the findings of a national sample survey commissioned by the U.S. Sentencing Commission. Conducted in 1994 through face-to-face interviews with a probability sample of the American populace, the study sought to find out how the American public would sentence persons convicted of crimes under the Federal Criminal Code. This report describes the sentences given, examines the principles used in giving sentences, and compares the sentences to those recommended in the guidelines.

Guideline Departures 1989-Present.
USSC OnLine; RDL.

These documents, updated periodically, summarize upward and downward departures approved and disapproved by appellate courts. Tables are presented that list specific departure factors along with the cases in which the factors appear.

U.S. Sentencing Commission Selected Reprints, Vol. II (September 1996).
SC-Request; RDL.

This volume compiles seven previously published articles on federal sentencing issues written by commissioners and staff. Topics include the role of guideline amendments in reducing sentencing disparity, charging and bargaining practices, competing sentencing policies in a “war on drugs” era, and guidelines for organizations.

Just Punishment: Public Perceptions and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines
(February 1997).
USSC OnLine; SC-Request; RDL.

In this study, more than 1,700 citizens throughout the United States provided their opinions on punishment and crime seriousness issues. This first-ever survey of public attitudes toward federal sentences used a series of crime “vignettes” incorporating relevant offense and offender characteristics. These vignettes were presented at personal interviews and respondents were asked to record what they considered to be a “just” and appropriate sentence in each case. This staff paper describes the survey and its methodology, and compares

public perceptions with the corresponding sentencing guideline ranges for four selected federal offenses: drug trafficking, bank robbery, immigration offenses, and fraud.

Guideline Sentencing: Determining “Loss” in §§2B1.1 (Theft) and 2F1.1 (Fraud)
(April 9, 1997).
USSC OnLine.

This document examines “loss” as it pertains to theft and fraud and covers such topics as a general definition of loss, estimating loss and gain, market value, actual damages, interest, intended loss, and consequential damages.

Rules of Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. 38598 (July 18, 1997); amended at 66 Fed. Reg. 59295 (November 27, 2001).
USSC OnLine; *SC-Request*; *RDL*.

This is a notice of the establishment of Sentencing Commission rules of practice and procedure relating to the manner in which it conducts its business.

Substantial Assistance: An Empirical Yardstick Gauging Equity in Current Federal Policy and Practice (January 1998).
USSC OnLine; *RDL*.

This exploratory research report examines the guidelines’ “substantial assistance” policy statement in light of the guidelines’ overall statutory goal of fair and honest sentencing. The study focused on whether different districts’ policies and procedures were consistent and whether similar defendants were receiving similar sentence reductions for providing similar assistance. The report explores the policies and procedures across judicial districts and analyzes the factors associated with substantial assistance reductions and the magnitude of the departures. Research methodologies include site visits to eight federal judicial districts, surveys and interviews administered to U.S. attorney offices, and descriptive and multivariate data analyses.

The Year in Review: 1997-1998 (July 1998).
USSC OnLine; *RDL*.

The report highlights the accomplishments of the Sentencing Commission during 1997-1998. The document describes recent achievements in such areas as policy development, resolution of circuit conflicts, guideline departures, responses to legislative initiatives, training and education, and research studies.

Probation and Supervised Release: Revocation and Other Issues

(August 18, 1998).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

On the topic of probation and supervised release, this paper looks at relevant key statutory provisions, applicable guidelines provisions (Chapter Seven of the *Guidelines Manual*), legal issues, reimposition of supervised release, delayed revocation, probation and supervised release imposed under the Assimilative Crimes Act.

Loss Definition Field Testing Report (October 1998).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

In the summer of 1998, the Commission worked with the federal judiciary to field test the Commission's proposed definition of "loss" for use in the theft and fraud guidelines. This report discusses the proposal, analyzes the results of the field test, and summarizes the comments made at the subsequent debriefing session attended by participants.

Firearms: Selected Federal Statutes and Guideline Enhancements (October 6, 1998).

USSC OnLine.

This document provides a general overview of key sentencing issues and case law arising from federal firearms statutes and various guideline provisions on firearm enhancements.

Report to the Judicial Conference of the United States (March 1999).

USSC OnLine.

This brief report outlines U.S. Sentencing Commission activities and developments regarding (1) commissioner vacancies; (2) recent guideline amendments; (3) the ongoing work of the agency; and (4) the reported cases during the past fiscal year of 1998.

Report to the Judicial Conference of the United States (September 1999).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This brief report updates (1) developments regarding commissioner vacancies and (2) the ongoing work of the agency. Among topics discussed are briefing materials on guidelines policy options pertaining to legislation enacted by the 105th Congress.

Federal Sentencing: Grouping of Money Laundering and Fraud Counts of Conviction
(March 2000).

USSC OnLine.

This document looks at the circuit split on the issue of whether money laundering and fraud can be grouped together. The report analyzes relevant court decisions.

Loss Issues (May 26, 2000).

USSC OnLine.

This document discusses issues raised about the definition of loss in case law. Topics examined include actual loss (e.g., causation, consequential damages, interest, and value received) and alternatives to actual loss (e.g., intended loss, gain, and risk of loss).

Selected Appellate Case Law on §5C1.1 (Safety Valve) (October 10, 2000).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This document analyzes case law on the safety valve guideline, looking at cases pertaining to eligibility for the safety valve, statutory criteria, and burden of proof. The document also looks at evidentiary hearings, necessity of findings, appellate jurisdiction, and retroactive application.

Proceedings of the Third Symposium on Crime and Punishment in the United States: Federal Sentencing Policy for Economic Crimes and New Technology Offenses
(October 12-13, 2000).

USSC OnLine; SC-Request; RDL.

This volume contains the proceedings of the Commission's third symposium in a series on crime and punishment. The purposes of this symposium were two-fold: first, to discuss sentencing policy for economic crimes generally; and second, to discuss the impact of new technology on investigating, prosecuting, and sentencing economic crimes.

Organizational Sentencing Guidelines Bibliography (March 2001).

USSC OnLine.

This is a bibliography on the organizational sentencing guidelines compiled by Commission staff, including treatises, reports, surveys, and articles on the organizational guidelines.

Guideline Resource List (Non-Commission Materials) (October 2001).

USSC OnLine.

This is a bibliography of periodicals and articles related to guideline sentencing, authored by persons outside of the U.S. Sentencing Commission.

An Overview of the Organizational Guidelines (2001).
USSC OnLine.

This brief overview looks at the organizational sentencing guidelines and looks at such topics as sharing “Best Practices” ideas and Chapter Eight’s seven key criteria for establishing an effective compliance program.

Probation and Supervised Release Violations (February 2002).
USSC OnLine.

This report looks at such topics as – guideline provisions on violations; *ex post facto* clause and savings clause issues; revocation and the Assimilative Crimes Act; revocation of probation or supervised release; authority of the probation office to petition for revocation; and discretionary conditions of supervision.

Departures (June 2002).
USSC OnLine; *RDL*.

This case law document examines post-*Koon* departure decisions, the Sentencing Reform Act’s procedural amendments, criminal history departures, substantial assistance departures, and the extent of departures.

Survey of Article III Judges: Summary Report (December 2002).
USSC OnLine; *RDL*.

With the Commission’s 15-year anniversary approaching, the Commission undertook a survey to measure, from the judges’ perspectives, how the federal sentencing guidelines have responded to the goals Congress set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act. All Article III judges were mailed questionnaires in January 2002. More than half of district courts judges and more than one-third of circuit court judges responded.

Survey of Article III Judges on the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: Final Report (March 2003).
USSC OnLine; *RDL*.

With the Commission's 15-year anniversary approaching, the Commission undertook a survey to measure, from the judges' perspectives, how the federal sentencing guidelines have responded to the goals Congress set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act. All Article III judges were mailed questionnaires in January 2002. More than half of district courts judges and more than one-third of circuit court judges responded.

Report of the Ad Hoc Advisory Group on the Organizational Guidelines (October 7, 2003).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This advisory group reviewed the operation and impact of the organizational sentencing guidelines. The group concluded that the organizational sentencing guidelines have been successful in inducing many organizations to focus on compliance and to create programs to prevent and detect violations of law. The group also concluded that changes should be made to give organizations greater guidance regarding the factors that are likely to result in effective programs to prevent and detect violations of law.

Final Report of the Native American Advisory Group (November 4, 2003).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

This advisory group was formed in response to concerns raised that Native American defendants are treated more harshly by the federal sentencing system, than if they were prosecuted by their respective states. The group was asked to consider any viable methods to improve the operation of the federal sentencing guidelines in their application to Native Americans under the Major Crimes Act.

Measuring Recidivism: The Criminal History Computation of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines (May 2004).

USSC OnLine; RDL.

The first release in the research series on the recidivism of federal offenders, this report examines in detail the predictive statistical power of the Chapter Four Criminal History guidelines. The study uses pre-conviction and instant offense information for a sample of guideline federal offenders sentenced in fiscal year 1992, matched with their post-sentencing criminal behavior collected from FBI records. Both tabular and statistical models of recidivism outcomes report findings by criminal history category and point groupings, as well as by offender demographics, instant offense characteristics, and recidivating offense types.

Recidivism and the “First Offender” (May 2004).
USSC OnLine; RDL.

This second release in the research series on the recidivism of federal offenders provides an empirical foundation for the Commission’s study of recidivism rates among federal offenders with little or no criminal history prior to the federal instant offense. Using definitional frameworks established in several earlier Commission working group studies on “first offenders,” the data documents recidivism risk for three plausible first offender groupings.

Fifteen Years of Guidelines Sentencing: An Assessment of How Well the Federal Criminal Justice System is Achieving the Goals of Sentencing Reform (November 2004).
USSC OnLine; SC-Request; RDL.

This study is a comprehensive review of the research literature and sentencing data to assess how well the guidelines have achieved the goals for sentencing reform established by Congress. These goals include increased certainty and transparency of sentences, increased severity of sentences for certain types of serious crimes, and reduced sentencing disparity, including racial and ethnic disparity.

Supreme Court Cases and Circuit Conflicts.
SC-Request; RDL.

These documents, updated periodically, summarize Supreme Court decisions along with circuit conflicts in cases involving the Commission, the federal sentencing guidelines, and other related issues. Tables are presented that illustrate circuit conflicts that have been addressed by Commission amendment and those that are ongoing.

GuideLines: News from the U.S. Sentencing Commission.
USSC OnLine; SC-Request; RDL.

GuideLines, the Commission’s periodic newsletter, appears time to time with information on current Commission activities, research findings, proposed guideline amendments, training opportunities, and guideline application and legal issues.

Survey Results/Preliminary Findings on *Blakely* Effect (November 2004).
USSC OnLine.

While awaiting the submission and analysis of empirical data on the effect of the *Blakely* decision, the Commission staff conducted a survey of 40 sample subjects (judges, defense counsel, and probation officers) in the Seventh and Ninth Circuits to attempt to determine, through anecdotal means, how those jurisdictions were handling their criminal caseloads.

Post-Booker Sentencing Updates (February 2005 - present).
USSC OnLine.

An extensive set of tables and charts presenting data on post-*Booker* cases received, coded, and edited as part of the Commission's post-*Booker* project. The numbers are prepared using data extracted at various points in time throughout the year.

Selected Post-Booker Decisions (May 2005).
USSC OnLine.

This document explores substantive post-*Booker* circuit court opinions and highlights representative opinions from some district courts and is not meant to be exhaustive of all decisions discussing the varied issues raised by the *Booker* opinion. Only cases that were available on Westlaw, Lexis-Nexis, and PACER through May 13, 2005, are included.

Commission Data

The Sentencing Commission systematically collects and publishes data on the sentencing process and conducts special studies on sentencing-related issues. To ensure confidentiality of respondents and sites, open-ended interview data from the evaluation implementation study will be made available for on-site inspection only. **Pursuant to the policy on public access to Sentencing Commission documents and data (54 Fed. Reg. 51279 (12/13/89)), all case and defendant identifiers have been removed from the data.**

Commission datasets are distributed online by the Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) at the University of Michigan. The codebook provided with each dataset discusses in detail other criteria that describe cases included in the data. Datasets are available via the following Internet address:

<http://www.ICPSR.umich.edu/NACJD/archive.html>

For more information, contact University of Michigan Institute for Social Research (ICPSR), P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1248. Online, you may direct

questions about data to netmail@icpsr.umich.edu. You may contact the ICPSR at (734) 647-5000 for assistance.

Monitoring Datasets

Datasets described in this section contain data on federal criminal cases sentenced under the guidelines. Each federal court is required to forward to the Commission several documents on each case sentenced under the guidelines (except petty offenses) including the indictment(s), the presentence report (PSR), the judgment of conviction (J&C), any written plea agreement, a report on the sentencing hearing, any modification of sentence, and any revocation of probation and supervised release.

The Commission's staff enters pertinent information from the documents into its data collection system. This system identifies the status of documents in the file, assigns a unique identification number, and collects information necessary to determine the applicability of the case. Cases sentenced under "old law," cases in which courts held the guidelines unconstitutional (prior to *Mistretta*), and those involving solely petty offenses are not captured in these datasets.

The prospective nature of the Sentencing Reform Act, coupled with constitutional challenges to the Act, resulted in only 17.9 percent of all federal criminal defendants sentenced in 1988 being sentenced under the guidelines. These proportions have increased yearly and are reflected in the increasing number of cases available in the Commission's annual Monitoring datasets.

Dataset entries are made on a per defendant/per sentencing basis (*i.e.*, each case is a consolidated sentencing of a single defendant). Multiple counts and multiple indictments constitute a consolidated sentencing if the defendants were sentenced at the same time and if a single PSR (and thus guideline range) is produced. Defendants may appear in more than one case in the dataset if they are involved in more than one consolidated sentencing. Multiple codefendants in the same consolidated sentencing will each appear as a separate case in the dataset.

Expansion of the Monitoring data collection system has resulted in additional data elements being made available each year. The earliest datasets primarily contain matched data from the Federal Probation Sentencing and Supervision Information System (FPSSIS) datafile of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and sentence information collected from J&C orders submitted by the courts. In 1990, basic guideline application information was collected and included in subsequent datasets. In addition, the data collection system was expanded to compensate for the elimination of the sentencing portion of the FPSSIS data collection system in August 1990. In 1991, data collection and files were upgraded to include in-depth guideline application information as well as additional elements to supplement losses from the FPSSIS system. In

1992, additional elements such as drug type were added to the automated files. In 1996, drug amount, type of defense counsel, and amount of loss were added.

In 1995, the Commission released the Appeals dataset, which tracks appellate review of sentencing decisions.

USSC.88 (Monitoring dataset for calendar year 1988).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,223 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of November 8, 1989, that were sentenced between November 1, 1987, and December 31, 1988 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes data elements from the FPSSIS file of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court.

USSC.89-H (Monitoring dataset for first six months of calendar year 1989).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 9,360 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of November 8, 1989, that were sentenced between January 1 and June 30, 1989 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes data elements from the FPSSIS file of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court.

USSC.89 (Monitoring dataset for calendar year 1989).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 22,676 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of February 28, 1990, that were sentenced between January 19, 1989, and December 31, 1989 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes data elements from the FPSSIS file of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court.

USSC.FY90 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1990).
ICPSR.

United States Sentencing Commission

This dataset includes 29,011 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of December 28, 1990, that were sentenced between October 1, 1989, and September 30, 1990 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes data elements from the FPSSIS file of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, and basic guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY91 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1991). *ICPSR.*

This dataset includes 33,419 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of January 17, 1992, that were sentenced between October 1, 1990, and September 30, 1991 (inclusive).

This dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY92 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1992). *ICPSR.*

This dataset includes 38,258 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of January 26, 1993, that were sentenced between October 1, 1991, and September 30, 1992 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY93 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1993). *ICPSR.*

This dataset includes 42,107 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of January 11, 1994, that were sentenced between October 1, 1992, and September 30, 1993 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY94 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1994).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 39,971 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of December 23, 1994, that were sentenced between October 1, 1993, and September 30, 1994 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY95 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1995).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 38,500 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of December 26, 1995, that were sentenced between October 1, 1994, and September 30, 1995 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY96 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1996).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 42,439 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of December 27, 1996, that were sentenced between October 1, 1995, and September 30, 1996 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY97 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1997).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 48,848 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of January 31, 1998, that were sentenced between October 1, 1996, and September 30, 1997 (inclusive).

United States Sentencing Commission

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY98 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1998). *ICPSR.*

This dataset includes 50,754 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of February 22, 1999, that were sentenced between October 1, 1997, and September 30, 1998 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY99 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 1999). *ICPSR.*

This dataset includes 55,557 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of February 11, 2000, that were sentenced between October 1, 1998, and September 30, 1999 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY00 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 2000). *ICPSR.*

This dataset includes 59,846 cases received by the Sentencing Commission as of May 25, 2001, that were sentenced between October 1, 1999, and September 30, 2000 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY01 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 2001).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 59,897 cases received by the Sentencing Commission that were sentenced between October 1, 2000, and September 30, 2001 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY02 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 2002).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 64,366 cases received by the Sentencing Commission that were sentenced between October 1, 2001, and September 30, 2002 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY03 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 2003).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 70,258 cases received by the Sentencing Commission that were sentenced between October 1, 2002, and September 30, 2003 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

USSC.FY04 (Monitoring dataset for fiscal year 2004).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes cases received by the Sentencing Commission that were sentenced between October 1, 2003, and September 30, 2004 (inclusive).

Information available in this dataset includes sentence information collected from the J&C submitted by the court, background information collected from the PSR, and in-depth guideline information collected from the PSR and the report on the sentencing hearing.

Federal Sentencing Statistics by District and Circuit (1996-Present).
USSC OnLine.

This set of tables provides district, circuit, and national data on primary offense category, mode of conviction, type of sentence, average length of imprisonment, departure rates, and incarceration rates of defendants eligible for non-prison sentences.

USSC.ORGsan93 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 50 cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines during the period November 1, 1991, to September 30, 1993, and 50 antitrust cases sentenced under the fine provisions of §2R1.1 during the period November 1, 1987, to September 30, 1993. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGsan94 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 86 cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines and 18 cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the fine provisions of §2R1.1 (1987) during the period October 1, 1993, through September 30, 1994. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGsan95 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 111 cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines and four cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the fine provisions of §2R1.1 (1987) during the period October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1995; and were received by the Commission by December 26, 1995. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGSAN96 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 148 cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines plus seven cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the fine provisions of §2R1.1 (1987) during the period October 1, 1995, through September 30, 1996; and were received by the Commission by December 27, 1996. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGSAN97 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 220 cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines plus cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the fine provisions of §2R1.1 (1987) during the period October 1, 1996, through September 30, 1997; and were received by the Commission by January 31, 1998. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGSAN98 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes cases in which 218 organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines plus cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the fine provisions of §2R1.1 (1987) during the period October 1, 1997, through September 30, 1998. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGSAN99 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes cases in which 255 organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines during the period October 1, 1998, through September 30, 1999. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGSAN00 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes cases in which 296 organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines during the period October 1, 1999, through September 30, 2000. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGSAN01 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes cases in which 238 organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines during the period October 1, 2000, through September 30, 2001. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGSAN02 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes cases in which 252 organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines during the period October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2002. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGSAN03 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes cases in which 200 organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines during the period October 1, 2002, through September 30, 2003. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.ORGSAN04 (Organizational defendant dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes cases in which organizational defendants were sentenced under the Chapter Eight guidelines during the period October 1, 2003, through September 30, 2004. The module captures information describing organizational structure, size, and economic viability; offense of conviction; mode of adjudication; sanctions imposed; and application of the sentencing guidelines.

USSC.APPEAL93 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,078 appellants whose cases were filed March 9, 1990, through September 30, 1993, and were received by the Commission by December 22, 1993. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL94 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,745 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 1993, through September 30, 1994, and were received by the Commission by December 22, 1994. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL95 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,863 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1995, and were received by the Commission by December 26, 1995. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL96 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,710 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 1995, through September 30, 1996, and were received by the Commission by December 27, 1996. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL97 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,496 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 1996, through September 30, 1997, and were received by the Commission by January 31, 1998. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL98 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,387 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 1997, through September 30, 1998, and were received by the Commission by February 22, 1999. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL99 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 7,103 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 1998, through September 30, 1999, and were received by the Commission by February 11, 2000. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL00 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,078 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 1999, through September 30, 2000, and were received by the Commission by May 25, 2001. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL01 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,280 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 2000, through September 30, 2001. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL02 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,834 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2002. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL03 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes 6,564 appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 2002, through September 30, 2003. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

USSC.APPEAL04 (Appeals dataset).
ICPSR.

This dataset includes appellants whose cases were filed October 1, 2003, through September 30, 2004. Information captured in the module includes district, circuit, date of appeal, date of opinion, legal issues before the court, and the court's disposition.

Historical Datasets

Augmented FPSSIS Fiscal Year 1985 Convictions Dataset (July 1991).
ICPSR.

The sentencing and related data in this collection were gathered from a stratified random sample of defendants convicted in U.S. district courts during fiscal year 1985. The Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts' Federal Probation Sentencing and Supervision Information System (FPSSIS) file was the primary source of these data. The data include a description of the offense, a characterization of the defendant's background and criminal record, the method of disposition of the case, and the sentence imposed. Felony and misdemeanor cases are included, while petty offense cases are not.

Three types of additional information augment the existing FPSSIS data: (1) more detailed offense and offender characteristics identified by the Sentencing Commission and coded by federal probation officers; (2) actual time served in prison and projected time served from the SENTRY datafile of

the U.S. Bureau of Prisons; and (3) information necessary to estimate prospective release dates from the hearing files of the U.S. Parole Commission.

The Commission collected these data during the development of the initial sentencing guidelines. Analyses of the data represented a study of past sentencing practices that proved important in the drafting of the guidelines as well as an assessment of prospective guidelines' impact on sentencing practices and prison populations.

This dataset consists of four separate files of 10,570 individuals (drug offenses dataset, n=2,879; street crimes dataset, n=2,756; white collar crimes dataset, n=2,815; other offenses, n=2,210) sentenced for serious misdemeanor or felony offenses between October 1, 1984, and September 30, 1985. **Pursuant to the policy on public access to Sentencing Commission documents and data (54 Fed. Reg. 51279, 12/13/89), all case and defendant identifiers have been removed from the data.**

Special Study Datasets

Organizations Sentenced in Federal Courts, 1988, and Organizations Sentenced in Federal Courts, 1989-90.
ICPSR.

To support the development of the Chapter Eight guidelines, the Commission conducted two studies of sentencing practices for organizational defendants in federal district courts. Dataset analyses from the two studies are included in the Commission's *Supplementary Report on Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations*.

The data include information on (1) organizational defendants sentenced from January 1 through December 31, 1988 (n=328) and (2) organizational defendants sentenced from January 1, 1989, through June 30, 1990 (n=446). For each of these studies, relevant information was extracted from presentence investigation reports, charging documents, and judgment of conviction orders.

Mandatory Minimum Study Sample Dataset, 1989-90.
ICPSR.

Pursuant to a congressional directive, the Sentencing Commission completed a study of federal mandatory minimum statutes. As part of this endeavor, a sample of the Commission's fiscal 1990 data release was augmented by a special coding project. This project was intended to supplement the normal data collection activities of the Commission with information specific to the application and effect of mandatory minimum statutes on the guidelines and the federal criminal justice system. In particular, the sample dataset was developed to supplement the

FPSSIS¹ and Commission monitoring data² with pertinent preconviction information and to allow for a procedural tracking of cases and application of mandatory minimum provisions at various stages of the criminal justice process.

A 12.5 percent random sample was selected from the 29,011 MONFY90 cases. Initial computer screening identified 2,210 relevant sample cases qualifying due to the presence of drugs in the offense, drugs and weapons, or robbery with weapons. A study of these cases yielded a sample of 1,165 cases, representing defendants for whom the offense behavior indicated the appropriateness of mandatory minimum penalties. A coding system developed for analysis of these cases incorporated case eligibility for mandatory minimum charges (e.g., the amount of drug by type sufficient to invoke 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A) or requirements satisfying the “using or carrying” firearm provision of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)). A conservative interpretation of the legal criteria minimized the chance of inclusion for cases not clearly mandatory in their offense behavior. Information coded on these 1,165 defendants included real offense behavior, indictment history, mode of conviction, convicted charges, sentences imposed, plea agreements, stipulations, and guideline factors.

1991 Evaluation Study.

The datasets identified below were used to prepare *The Federal Sentencing Guidelines: A Report on the Operation of the Guidelines System and Short-Term Impacts on Disparity in Sentencing, Use of Incarceration, and Prosecutorial Discretion and Plea Bargaining*, submitted to Congress and the GAO in December 1991:

1991 Evaluation Study: National Survey Data. ICPSR.

The data in this collection are from a national survey of judges and court practitioners developed in response to issues raised during site visits for the implementation study.

This national mail survey sample consisted of all federal district judges, public defenders, a random sample of assistant U.S. attorneys engaged in criminal

¹ The FPSSIS data used for the mandatory minimum study include all 267,178 cases sentenced between January 1, 1984, and June 30, 1990. FPSSIS data were used to identify the sample by distinguishing the underlying conduct in a given case as potentially involving mandatory minimum behavior. To classify cases, the data used were the total pure amount of drugs and presence of a weapon.

² These data include the Commission’s monitoring dataset for the fiscal year 1990 (MONFY90), with 29,011 defendants sentenced between October 1, 1989, and September 30, 1990.

work, federal panel attorneys, and federal probation officers preparing presentence reports or conducting the investigation for those reports. Sixty percent of the surveys were returned completed (1,802 out of 2,998 sampled).

1991 Evaluation Study: Disparity Data.
ICPSR.

Four disparity datasets, each representing one of four major offense types (bank robbery, cocaine distribution, heroin distribution, and bank embezzlement) drew data for this study from the FPSSIS dataset, an augmented FPSSIS dataset constructed by the Commission representing offenders sentenced in 1985, the Commission's guidelines sentence monitoring system, the Federal Bureau of Prisons, and the U.S. Parole Commission. The dataset available through ICPSR represents data expanded beyond what was available for the evaluation study and includes demographic variables not coded at the time of the evaluation. The datasets contain information on preguideline defendants sentenced during fiscal year 1985 (October 1, 1984 - September 30, 1985) and were compiled to assist the Commission in developing the initial guidelines. These data came predominantly from the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts but were augmented by a special Commission data collection. Because constitutional challenges to the guidelines delayed nationwide implementation for 15 months, fewer guideline cases were available for analysis than originally anticipated. Therefore, to increase the sample size, guideline datasets for bank robbery, bank embezzlement, and heroin distribution offenses cover more than one fiscal year (*e.g.*, offenders sentenced between January 19, 1989, and September 30, 1990).

The cocaine dataset includes additional variables not found in the other three disparity datasets that indicate type and amount of drugs. The Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 (ADAA86), in setting equivalencies for various types and amounts of drugs, established different equivalencies for powder cocaine and cocaine base. Data available through FPSSIS do not distinguish between powder cocaine and cocaine base (crack). The guidelines incorporated the statutory equivalencies by equating one unit of cocaine base to 100 units of cocaine powder (see guideline 2D1.1). The Commission undertook a special data collection effort that produced a file identifying the particular type of cocaine. Consequently, the cocaine dataset covers a much shorter time frame, from September to December 1990. The Commission's augmented FPSSIS data serve as the preguidelines source for the sample of cocaine distribution cases.

Cases in each of the four datasets represent single counts of conviction or multiple counts that generally would not enhance either the preguidelines or guidelines sentence. The bank embezzlement dataset contains 1,143 cases (536 preguidelines and 607 guidelines); the bank robbery sample 1,372 cases (502 preguidelines and 870 guidelines); the heroin distribution sample 1,454 cases

(530 preguidelines and 924 guidelines); and the cocaine distribution sample 1,710 cases (328 preguidelines and 1,382 guidelines).

1991 Evaluation Study: Time Series Data.
ICPSR.

The data in this collection represent two separate studies designed to assess the impact of the sentencing guidelines. The first is a use of incarceration study, which includes data on the incarcerative and non-incarcerative sentences imposed and the average length of expected time to be served in incarceration for all offenses as well as for select groups of offenses. The second is a prosecutorial discretion and plea bargaining study, which includes data on the numbers of matters initiated, cases filed, and cases disposed of by guilty plea and trial.

Both studies reflect monthly time series before and after the implementation of the guidelines in November 1987. FPSSIS files from the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts defined the universe of observations for the study on use of incarceration. FPSSIS records have been matched with data from the Sentencing Commission's Monitoring dataset, the Federal Bureau of Prisons, and the U.S. Parole Commission to obtain the relevant variables to compute the outcome variable "expected time to be served." Individual sentence records in each of the datasets have been merged into one dataset. Data on use of incarceration reflect monthly time series covering the period from 1984 to 1990.

The monthly time series measurements for the prosecutorial discretion and plea bargaining study cover the period from 1984 to 1990. These monthly time series have been constructed from two datasets maintained by the Executive Office for U.S. Attorneys: (1) Docket and Reporting System for Fiscal Years 1984-1986, and (2) Criminal Master File with Auxiliary Events and Charge Files (referred to as the new data system) for fiscal years 1987-1990. These datasets contain processing information about matters initiated, cases filed, and cases resolved in U.S. attorney offices in each federal district.

1991 Evaluation Study: Implementation Study Interviews.
SC-Inspect.

This is a hard copy of implementation study interviews with judges, probation officers, assistant U.S. attorneys, federal defenders, private counsel, and federal court clerks.

Index by Publication Title

A

Acceptance of Responsibility Working Group Report	22
Adequacy of Federal Sentencing Guideline Penalties for Computer Fraud and Vandalism Offenses: Report to the Congress	14
Adequacy of Penalties for Fraud Offenses Involving Elderly Victims: Report to the Congress	13
Adequacy of Penalties for the Intentional Exposure of Others, through Sexual Activity, to HIV: Report to the Congress	13
Aliens Working Group Report	21
Amendment Highlights	33
Analysis of Penalties for Federal Rape Cases: Report to the Congress	13
Analysis of Sentencing-Related Provisions of S. 2305 and H.R. 3371 Conference Report Crime Bills	12
Analysis of the Sentencing-Related Provisions of the Senate Committee Print of the Immigration Reform Act of 1995	14
Analysis of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (H.R.3355)	12
Annual Report	9

B

Bank Robbery Working Group Report	19, 22
Brief for the United States Sentencing Commission as <i>amicus curiae</i> In <i>Mistretta V. United States</i>	17
Brief for the United States Sentencing Commission as <i>amicus curiae</i> In <i>United States v. Lopez</i>	17
Brief for the United States Sentencing Commission as <i>amicus curiae</i> In <i>United States v. Bell</i>	17
Brief for the United States Sentencing Commission as <i>amicus curiae</i> in Support of Petitioner, United States of America in <i>U.S. v. Booker</i> and <i>U.S. v. Fanfan</i>	18

C

Career Offender Guidelines Working Group Report	19
Cellular Telephone Cloning Final Report	29
Chapter Three Adjustments: Staff Discussion Paper	31
Child Pornography, Obscenity Offenses, and Hate Crimes: Working Group Report	21
Child Sex Offense Working Group Report	23
Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy (1995): Special Report to the Congress	12
Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy (1997): Special Report to the Congress	14
Cocaine and Federal Sentencing Policy (2002): Report to the Congress	15
Computer Fraud Working Group Report	26
Criminal History: Staff Discussion Paper	31
Criminal History Working Group Report	20
Criminal History Working Group Report: Category 0, Category VII	22, 23
Criminal Livelihood Working Group Report	19

D

Departures	40
Departures and Offender Characteristics: Staff Discussion Paper	31
Discussion Materials on Organizational Sanctions	32
Dissenting View of Paul H. Robinson on the Promulgation of Sentencing Guidelines by the United States Sentencing Commission	32
Downward Departures from the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: Report to Congress	16
Drug Working Group Report	23
Drug Working Group Report – Listed Chemicals	21
Drugs, Firearms, and Violent Offenses Working Group Report	20
Drugs/Role/Harmonization Working Group Report	25

F

Federal Court Practices: Sentence Reductions Based on Defendants’ Substantial Assistance to the Government	27
Federal Offender: A Program of Intermediate Punishments	33
Federal Sentencing Guidelines: A Report on the Operation of the Guidelines System and Short-Term Impacts on Disparity in Sentencing, Use of Incarceration, and Prosecutorial Discretion and Plea Bargaining	11
The Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Organizational Crimes: Questions and Answers	34
Field Test of Proposed Revisions to the Definition of Loss in the Theft and Fraud Guidelines	28
Fifteen Years of Guidelines Sentencing: An Assessment of How Well the Federal Criminal Justice System is Achieving the Goals of Sentencing Reform	42
Firearms and Explosive Materials Working Group Report	22
Firearms: Selected Federal Statutes and Guideline Enhancements	38
Food and Drug Working Group Preliminary Report	26
Food and Drug Working Group Report	27

G

Grouping of Money Laundering and Fraud Counts of Conviction	39
GuideLines: News from the U.S. Sentencing Commission	42
Guideline Departures 1989-Present	36
Guideline Resource List (Non-Commission Materials)	40
Guideline Sentencing: Determining “Loss” in §§2B1.1 (Theft) and 2F1.1 (Fraud)	37
Guidelines Manual	7

I

Identity Theft Final Report	29
Increased Penalties for Campaign Finance Offenses and Legislative Recommendations	16
Increased Penalties for Cyber Security Offenses	16
Increased Penalties Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	16
Initial Sentencing Guidelines and Policy Statements: Supplementary Report	10

J

Just Punishment: Public Perceptions and the Federal Sentencing Guidelines	36
Juvenile Offenders Working Group Report	25

L

Level of Detail in Chapter Two: Staff Discussion Paper	30
Loss Definition Field Testing Report	38
Loss Issues	39
Loss Issues Working Paper	27

M

Mandatory Minimum Penalties: Special Report to the Congress	11
Manslaughter Working Group Report	27
Maximum Utilization of Prison Resources: Report to the Congress	12
MDMA Drug Offenses: Explanation of Recent Guideline Amendments (Report to Congress)	15
Measuring Recidivism: The Criminal History Computation of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines	41
Memorandum of Law by the United States Sentencing Commission as <i>amicus curiae</i> in <i>United States v. McLellan</i>	18
Methamphetamine Final Report	28
Money Laundering Working Group Report	24, 27
Multiple Counts: Staff Discussion Paper	31

N

National Sample Survey: Public Opinion on Sentencing Federal Crimes	36
No Electronic Theft Act Policy Development Team Report	28
Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Weapons Policy Team	30

O

Organizational Sentencing Guidelines Bibliography	39
Overview of the Organizational Guidelines	40

P

Plea Negotiations Under the Federal Sentencing Guidelines: An Empirical Examination of the Post- <i>Mistretta</i> Experience	35
Post- <i>Booker</i> Sentencing Updates	43
Preliminary Observations of the Commission on Commissioner Robinson's Dissent	32
Preliminary Report to the Commission: Staff Working Group on Alternatives	23
Probation and Supervised Release: Revocation and Other Issues	38
Probation and Supervised Release Violations	40
Proceedings of the Inaugural Symposium on Crime and Punishment In the United States: Drugs & Violence in America	34

Proceedings of the Second Symposium on Crime and Punishment	
In the United States: Corporate Crime in America: Strengthening	
The “Good Citizen” Corporation	35
Proceedings of the Third Symposium on Crime and Punishment	
In the United States: Federal Sentencing Policy for Economic	
Crimes and New Technology Offenses	39
Public Access to the Sentencing Commission Documents and Data	33
Public Corruption Working Group Report	26

R

Recidivism and the “First Offender”	42
Relevant Conduct: Staff Discussion Paper	29
Report from Advisory Group of Environmental Sanctions	35
Report of the Ad Hoc Advisory Group on the Organizational Guidelines	41
Report of the Drugs/Role/Harmonization Working Group	25
Report to the Judicial Conference (March 1999)	38
Report to the Judicial Conference (September 1999)	38
Revocation Working Group Report	20
Role in the Offense Working Group Report	20
Rules of Practice and Procedure	37

S

Selected Appellate Case Law on Section 5C1.2 (Safety Valve)	39
Selected Guidelines Application Decisions	33
Selected Post- <i>Booker</i> Decisions	43
Selected Reprints, Vol. I	34
Selected Reprints, Vol. II	36
Sentences Imposed Under the Guidelines	34
Sentencing Federal Sexual Offenders: Protection of Children from Sexual Predators	
Act of 1998	29
Sentencing for the Possession or Use of Firearms During a Crime	29
Sentencing Guidelines and Policy Statements	7
Sentencing Guidelines for Organizational Defendants: Preliminary Draft	33
Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations: Supplementary Report	11
Sentencing Options Under the Guidelines: Staff Discussion Paper	32
Sentencing Policy for Money Laundering Offenses, Including Comments on	
Department of Justice Report: Report to the Congress	15
Sentencing Reform Act: Staff Discussion Paper	30
Sex Offenses Against Children: Report to the Congress	14
Sourcebook of Sentencing Statistics	10
Statutory Penalties Project Description and Compilations of Federal Criminal	
Offenses - Preliminary Report to the Congress	10
Statutory Penalty Review: Supplementary Report to the Congress	10
Substantial Assistance: An Empirical Yardstick Gauging Equity in Current	
Federal Policy and Practice	37
Supreme Court Cases and Circuit Conflicts	42
Survey of Article III Judges (Summary Report)	40
Survey of Article III Judges on the Federal Sentencing Guidelines (Final Report)	41
Survey Results/Preliminary Findings on <i>Blakely</i> Effect	43

T

Telemarketing Fraud Offenses — Explanation of Recent Guideline Amendments	15
Telemarketing Fraud Working Group Report	28

U

Update on the Activities of the United States Sentencing Commission	35
---	----

V

Violent Crimes/Firearms/Gangs Working Group Report	24
--	----

W

White Collar Crime Working Group Report	25
---	----

Y

Year in Review: 1997-1998	37
---------------------------------	----

Index by Subject

A

acceptance of responsibility	22
adjustments to guidelines	31
alternatives to incarceration	23, 32, 33
amendments to sentencing guidelines	4, 7, 33
annual reports	9

B

bank robbery	19, 22
biological weapons	30
<i>Blakely</i>	6, 18, 43
<i>Booker</i>	6, 18, 43

C

campaign finance offenses	16
career offender	19
case law	33, 39, 40
chapter two offenses	30
chemical weapons	30
child pornography	21
child sex offenses	14, 23, 29
cocaine sentencing policy	12, 14, 15
computer fraud	14, 26
corporate crime	3, 11, 14, 16, 25, 32, 33, 34, 35, 39, 41
crime bill analysis	12, 14
criminal history	4, 19, 20, 22, 23, 31, 41
criminal livelihood	19
cyber security offenses	16

D

data	44
departures	4, 16, 26, 31, 36, 40
drug offenses	3, 12, 15, 20, 21, 23, 25

E

economic crime	39
electronic theft	28
environmental sanctions	35
evaluation studies	11, 42
<i>ex post facto</i>	17
explosive materials	22

F

fifteen year study	42
firearm offenses	20, 22, 24, 29, 37
first offender	42
food and drug offenses	26, 27
fraud, computer	14, 26
fraud, elderly victims	13
fraud loss	28, 36, 37, 39
fraud, telemarketing	15, 28

G

gangs	24
guideline amendments	4, 7, 33
guidelines application	4
guidelines manuals	7

H

hate crimes	21
HelpLine	3
HIV penalties	13

I

identity theft	29
immigration offenses	14, 21
Internet site (<i>USSC On-Line</i>)	3

J

judges survey	40, 41
just punishment	36
juvenile offenders	25

L

legal briefs	17
library resources	7
loss issues	27, 28, 37

M

mandatory minimum penalties	11
manslaughter	27
MDMA	15
methamphetamine	28
<i>Mistretta v. U.S.</i>	17
money laundering	15, 23, 27, 39
multiple counts	4, 31

N

Native American defendants	41
newsletters (<i>GuideLines</i>)	42
nuclear weapons	29

O

obscenity offenses	21
offender characteristics	30
organizational defendants	3, 11, 14, 16, 25, 32, 33, 34, 35, 39, 41

P

plea negotiations	35
practice and procedure, rules of	37
probation	20, 38
prison resource utilization	12
public access	33
public corruption	26
public hearings	5
public opinion on sentencing policy	36

R

rape, penalties for	13
recidivism	41, 42
relevant conduct	30
restitution	4
revocation, probation and supervised release	20, 38
role in the offense	20, 25

S

safety valve	39
Sarbanes-Oxley Act	16
sentences imposed	34
Sentencing Reform Act	30
statistics, sourcebook of	9
statutory penalties project	10
substantial assistance to government	26, 37
supervised release	19, 38, 40
Supreme Court	17, 42

T

telephone cloning	29
training	7

U

<i>U.S. v. Booker</i>	6, 18, 43
-----------------------------	-----------

<i>U.S. v. Fanfan</i>	6, 18, 43
-----------------------------	-----------

V

vandalism	14
violent crimes	3, 12, 20, 24

W

white collar crime	14, 25
--------------------------	--------